

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,407	07/08/2004	David A. Holcomb	200017.439	4406	
500	7590 11/10/2005	EXAMINER			
- · · · ·	LLECTUAL PROPERT	PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU			
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, V	VA 98104-7092	3724			

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e- F		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/710,407		HOLCOMB ET AL	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		Hwei-Siu C.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLECTION OF THE MAILING DESIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, will apply and will exert e, cause the applicate	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire spire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of	s action is non	r formal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)⊠ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23 is/are withdrawn to Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 20-22 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 08 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath of the oa	from considerate or election requeet. I accepted of drawing(s) be action is required.	uirement. or b)⊠ objected to laneld in abeyance. Sec if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 II.S.C. & 119						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ate)-152)		

Art Unit: 3724

Detailed Action

Restriction/Election

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a device for use in removing the meat of a piece of fruit, classified in class 30, subclass 302.
 - II. Claim 23, drawn to a method for removing the meat of a piece of avocado, classified in class 83, subclass 13.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a material different process such as for loosening up soil.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kevin S. Costanza on November 8, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Application/Control Number: 10/710,407

Art Unit: 3724

Office action. Claim 23 has been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings Objection

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the constant cross-sectional shape (cited in claim 6), the constant cross-sectional shape elongated in a cutting direction (cited in claim 7), the cross-sectional shape elongated in a cutting direction and terminating in opposing edges, at least one of the edges being pointed (cited in claim 8), and the opposing surfaces terminating in opposing edges, at least one of the opposing surface being curved (cited in claim 9) must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Objection to the Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words in text. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Objection to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In paragraph [0025], line 13, "handle 122" should read --handle 112--.
- (2) In paragraph [0026], line 6, "device 10" should read --device 110--.
- (3) Paragraph [0027] should be deleted since no such reference is being incorporated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Objection

Claims 14-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 14-17, "the stressed configuration" should read --the displaced configuration-- (note claim 1).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - (1) In claim 1, line 2, "the skin" lacks clear antecedent basis.
- (2) In claim 1, line 19, "to conform it to the curvature of the skin before" does not make sense.
 - (3) In claim 8, line 2, "at least edge" does not make sense.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3724

2. Claim 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Worcester (U.S. Patent No. 924,124).

Worchester's device shows all the claimed structure. The statement of intended use (i.e. for use in removing the meat of a piece of fruit) adds no structure to and is of no patentable import in the claimed device.

3. Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hornsby (U.S. Patent No.5,937,524).

Hornsby's device (Figs.1,5 and 7) shows all the claimed structure. The statement of intended use (i.e. for use in removing the meat of a piece of fruit) adds no structure to and is of no patentable import in the claimed device.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art Citations

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tewell, Alderfer, Mielnicki, Young, Horng, and Holcomb et al. et al. are cited as art of interest.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,407

Art Unit: 3724

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer

November 8, 2005

i wai-Siu Payer

Page 7

Primary Examiner